PERSPECTIVE

Revisiting the cold case of cold fusion

Curtis P. Berlinguette^{1,2,3,4}*, Yet-Ming Chiang⁵, Jeremy N. Munday^{6,7}, Thomas Schenkel⁸, David K. Fork⁹, Ross Koningstein⁹ & Matthew D. Trevithick⁹*

The 1989 claim of 'cold fusion' was publicly heralded as the future of clean energy generation. However, subsequent failures to reproduce the effect heightened scepticism of this claim in the academic community, and effectively led to the disqualification of the subject from further study. Motivated by the possibility that such judgement might have been premature, we embarked on a multi-institution programme to re-evaluate cold fusion to a high standard of scientific rigour. Here we describe our efforts, which have yet to yield any evidence of such an effect. Nonetheless, a by-product of our investigations has been to provide new insights into highly hydrided metals and low-energy nuclear reactions, and we contend that there remains much interesting science to be done in this underexplored parameter space.

A n extraordinary scientific claim was announced on 23 March 1989: the thermal energy produced during electrolysis of heavy water using a palladium cathode exceeded the energy accounted for by the input electricity and all known chemical processes¹. This potentially novel nuclear effect became known as 'cold fusion'. It offered the prospect of clean, abundant, inexpensive energy, and therefore generated global media attention²⁻⁴. But the generation of anomalous heat or nuclear fusion products during electrolysis was not appropriately validated, and the claims were swiftly dismissed by the scientific community⁵⁻⁸. In November 1989, a panel convened by the US Department of Energy recommended against any special funding for the investigation of phenomena attributed to cold fusion⁹. In the parlance of police procedurals, the case went cold.

Many graduate students and postdoctoral researchers today have never even heard of cold fusion, yet the term still elicits strong responses from those who experienced the events of 1989. The subject remains effectively disqualified from mainstream academic research. Nevertheless, lightion fusion does not violate the conservation of energy, so one cannot completely reject the possibility (however remote) that the clever use of chemistry and materials science could access such phenomena. For this reason, a small subset of the scientific community has remained, to some extent, open to the idea of cold fusion. Isolated groups have continued its pursuit, but have yet to produce a credible 'reference experiment' that provides unambiguous evidence of anomalous heat or nuclear reaction products that can be independently verified and advanced.

We came together in 2015 to determine how to produce reliable and accessible experimental data to better inform the polarizing debate about cold fusion that has simmered for three decades¹⁰⁻¹⁶. Our effort comprised approximately 30 graduate students, postdoctoral researchers and staff scientists. It was conducted in accordance with two guiding principles: transparent access to all researchers, apparatus and data; and stringent internal peer review. The concept of the principal investigators operating as a 'peer group' was integral to the programme. The plan was to conduct two years of research beginning in 2016, assemble research teams at several academic laboratories, encourage interaction among the teams, keep a low profile to avoid distraction, and to ultimately publish our results. A key objective of our programme was to define quantitative bounds for the observation of any anomalous thermal or nuclear effects. If credible evidence of an anomaly were found, the apparatus would be

developed into a reference experiment that could be vetted by the rest of the peer group and eventually the broader scientific community. This is the first public disclosure of our programme.

So far, we have found no evidence of anomalous effects claimed by proponents of cold fusion that cannot otherwise be explained prosaically. However, our work illuminates the difficulties of producing the conditions under which cold fusion is hypothesized to exist. This result leaves open the possibility that the debunking of cold fusion in 1989 was perhaps premature because the relevant physical and material conditions had not (and indeed have not yet) been credibly realized and thoroughly investigated. Should the phenomenon happen to be real (itself an open question), there may be good technical reasons why proponents of cold fusion have struggled to detect anomalous effects reliably and reproducibly. Continued scepticism of cold fusion is justified, but we contend that additional investigation of the relevant conditions is required before the phenomenon can be ruled out entirely.

We have also learned that studying cold fusion can impact other areas of science and technology. For example, the absorption of hydrogen into palladium is an active area for exploring how metal–solute interactions affect properties relevant to energy storage, catalysis and sensing^{17–24}. This broader impact of our work is a direct result of our need to develop new materials and experimental techniques for advancing our understanding of highly hydrided metals and low-energy nuclear reactions^{25,26}.

We believe that there is exciting new science to be done within the parameter space of cold fusion experiments, and that this is an area worthy of engagement from the broader scientific community, even if the discovery of cold fusion at high enough rates for energy applications does not materialize.

Here we will look back at 'cold fusion', provide an overview of our programme, consider our results in terms of the programme's three main initiatives—highly hydrided metals, calorimetry under extreme conditions and low-energy nuclear reactions—and conclude with a brief call to action.

An historical view

The term 'cold fusion' was used as far back as 1956 to describe muon-catalysed fusion^{27,28}, but the label is now inextricably linked to the electrolytic experiments sensationalized in 1989 by Martin Fleischmann and Stanley Pons¹. Their experiments were designed to

¹Department of Chemistry, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada. ²Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada. ³Stewart Blusson Quantum Matter Institute, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada. ⁴Canadian Institute for Advanced Research (CIFAR), Toronto, Ontario, Canada. ⁵Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA. ⁶Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA. ⁷Institute for Research in Electronics and Applied Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA. ⁸Accelerator Technology and Applied Physics Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA. ⁹Google LLC, Mountain View, CA, USA. *e-mail: cberling@chem.ubc.ca; mdt@google.com



Fig. 1 | Revisiting cold fusion. a, Three conventional pathways of deuteron-deuteron (D–D) fusion with their respective products, energy release amounts and branching ratios³⁰. b, Archetypal electrochemical cell for palladium-catalysed electrolysis of D_2O .

measure the heat that evolved during electrolysis experiments involving palladium (Pd) cathodes immersed in lithiated heavy water (Fig. 1). Fleischmann and Pons used the heat balance of the electrochemical cell as a function of current density and electrode size to deduce the enthalpy of the D_2O electrolysis reaction. They claimed that this experiment generated more heat than could be explained by the input electrical energy and all known chemical processes. The magnitude of observed 'excess heat' led Fleischmann and Pons, and others, to speculate a nuclear mechanism^{1,29}. The detection of neutrons adjacent to the experiment was presented as evidence to support the conjecture that novel fusion processes had occurred.

These results were met with immediate scepticism because nuclei at room temperature should not penetrate the Coulomb barrier at rates appreciable enough to observe fusion. The probability of fusion drops exponentially as particle energy is reduced^{30,31}. Known fusion processes, at rates high enough to be quantified in current experiments, require particle energies greater than about 2 keV in the centre-ofmass frame, corresponding to temperatures greater than 20 million kelvin. However, to this day, disparities remain between predicted and observed fusion reaction rates at low particle energies (in the kiloelectronvolt range), which have been attributed to electron screening effects that enhance the rate of tunnelling through the Coulomb barrier^{25,26,32–36}.

Furthermore, according to the conventional branching ratios for deuteron–deuteron fusion (Fig. 1), far too few neutrons and tritons were detected in the Fleischmann–Pons experiment to account for the quantity of heat observed (a deuteron is a deuterium (D) nucleus, and a triton is a tritium (T) nucleus). It was therefore proposed that $D + D \rightarrow {}^{4}\text{He} + 24$ MeV was the dominant pathway for cold fusion, with essentially all of the energy transferred to the host metal lattice as heat, and helium (the isotope ${}^{4}\text{He}$) as the principal nuclear by-product¹². Other mechanisms have been proposed as well; however, they tend to violate simple versions of momentum conservation because there is no detectable radiation. A broadly accepted theory that explains cold fusion does not exist at present.

So far, efforts to independently replicate claims of anomalous heat and fusion reaction products have not yielded sufficient evidence to support the existence of cold fusion. In 2004, a second review by the US Department of Energy recommended two areas for additional research to resolve some of the controversies in the field: the material science aspects of deuterated metals using modern characterization techniques; and the study of particles reportedly emitted from deuterated foils using state-of-the-art apparatus and methods³⁷. Few academic laboratories took up this charge. Our programme sought to remedy that situation.

Programme scope

Considerable literature and lore about cold fusion has been produced since 1989^{1,15,29,38} (see also http://lenr-canr.org). Without the guidance of a generally accepted theory, our survey of the field led us to focus on the empirical investigation of three of its most prominent claims: (1) the claim that metal electrodes loaded with extraordinary amounts

of hydrogen are a necessary precursor to cold fusion³⁹; (2) the claim that metallic powders heated in a hydrogen environment produce excess heat⁴⁰; and (3) the claim that pulsed plasma discharges produce tritium and other anomalous nuclear signatures⁴¹. It was readily apparent that these experiments would require careful measurement of materials under extreme conditions, including high pressures, temperatures and potentials. Suitable instrumentation would have to be designed, fabricated and calibrated. A few years, not just a few months, were going to be necessary to construct the requisite apparatus and conduct statistically significant numbers of experiments, even within the limited scope of our programme. And finally, we were going to conduct all experiments with a dual purpose: to advance academic understanding of the phenomena under investigation while also evaluating cold fusion claims.

Highly hydrided metals

Michael McKubre and colleagues at SRI International (California, USA) conducted one of the largest studies of cold fusion³⁹. In the early 1990s, they performed dozens of Fleischmann–Pons type electrolysis experiments and claimed to observe excess heat only when the palladium cathode was loaded with hydrogen beyond a threshold of PdH_x where x > 0.875 (ref. ³⁹). (For the sake of brevity, 'hydrogen' in this Perspective represents hydrogen, deuterium, protons, deuterons, or hydride.) Notwithstanding the ongoing debate in the cold fusion community about whether high loading is important per se, or whether it induces important secondary phenomena (structural defects, for example), we determined that understanding how to create, characterize and sustain highly hydrided metals would be a priority for our programme.

Most Fleischmann-Pons type experiments use palladium cathodes loaded with deuterium, so we focused on the PdH_r materials system first. Palladium, one of the few elements that readily absorb hydrogen, forms PdH_x where $x \approx 0.7$ at room temperature and 1 atm of hydrogen pressure⁴². However, it is challenging to produce and characterize stoichiometries of PdH_x where x > 0.7 (Fig. 2)^{43–45}. The absorption of hydrogen by palladium successively forms two distinct phases: a solid solution α -phase at lower hydrogen concentrations, and a lattice-expanded β-phase at higher hydrogen concentrations⁴². For both phases, hydrogen occupies octahedral interstices in the face-centred cubic palladium crystal lattice. In the β -phase, the composition can conceivably form a rock salt structure with a stoichiometry of PdH_{1.0}. However, at ambient temperature and pressure, the β -phase is limited to a stoichiometry of PdH_{0.7} (Fig. 2)⁴²; further absorption of hydrogen into β -PdH_x requires an exponential increase in hydrogen pressure. There are very few studies that provide convincing evidence for a bulk loading of x > 0.875 in PdH_x (refs ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹), and even fewer where $x \ge 1$ (refs ^{44,45,50}). In the case where x = 1.33was observed, the lattice underwent a phase change under extreme conditions (973 K and about 50 katm of mechanical pressure) to form a metastable superabundant vacancy phase, as measured by in situ X-ray diffraction⁴⁵.



Fig. 2 | Pressure-composition-temperature diagram for palladium hydride featuring the α -PdH_x and β -PdH_x phases. Our research programme sought to explore the regime of PdH_x where x > 0.875(grey area), which is not well studied because it is difficult to produce and characterize accurately. PdH_{1.33} (top right in grey area) has the highest documented hydrogen-to-palladium ratio⁴⁵. Figure adapted from ref. ⁴² with permission from Springer. (The pressure-compositiontemperature diagram for the palladium-deuterium system does not differ substantially⁴²).

We considered a range of techniques to produce and sustain highly hydrided palladium^{44,45,51-53}. Electrochemistry emerged as our method of choice because a modest applied potential produces high hydrogen fugacity, thereby enabling high hydrogen loading without high-pressure hydrogen gas^{54,55}. Merely 120 mV in overpotential produces the thermodynamic equivalent of approximately 100 atm of pressure⁵⁶, but competing hydrogen desorption reactions still make it difficult to reach exceptionally high hydrogen loading levels. The numerous types of palladium electrodes we tested in aqueous media all yielded values of x < 0.875 in PdH_x (ref. ⁵⁷), except for a single sample where $x = 0.96 \pm 0.02$ (ref. ⁵³). We were able to reproducibly sustain high hydrogen loadings in palladium ($x = 0.81 \pm 0.02$) when we subjected a purpose-built solid-state electrochemical cell to a modest electrochemical driving force of -1 V versus the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE)^{53,58}. This cell design enabled the use of X-ray diffraction to measure the loading levels during device operation by tracking the lattice expansion upon hydrogen absorption⁵⁸.

Characterizing hydrogen concentrations in palladium is also challenging. Loading palladium electrodes with interstitial hydrogen causes the metallic lattice to expand, the electrical impedance to change and the mass to increase. We found that in situ X-ray diffraction⁵⁸, which measures lattice parameter changes, and stripping



Fig. 3 | Detecting excess heat at high temperatures. a, Rendering of a calorimeter capable of testing for excess heat production at high temperatures and high hydrogen pressures. The calorimeter features a cylindrical alumina sample chamber and 14 independent thermocouple sensors (not visible) within a constant-temperature outer jacket. The ends of the sample chamber are connected to gas manifolds, one of which is equipped with a pressure sensor. b, Plot of coefficient of performance (COP) as a function of the independent variable (shown in coloured text) to evaluate claims of excess heat production by the Ni–H materials system. Each unique experimental condition was typically sampled in quadruplicate. The 3σ limit of detection is presented as a solid grey horizontal line at COP = 1.0825. Dots, 420 individual sample runs; vertical lines, 95% confidence intervals about the average.

coulometry^{59,60}, which measures charge passed during hydrogen desorption, to be the most accurate ways of characterizing PdH_x stoichiometry in electrochemical environments. We discovered, and corrected, errors in previously used lattice expansion calibrations⁵³ that would otherwise lead to an overestimation of *x*. In our experience, four-terminal sensing, which measures electrical impedance to infer PdH_x stoichiometry, disagreed with X-ray diffraction on the same samples. Mechanical stresses and irreversible plastic deformation caused by lattice expansion affects the impedance of the electrodes independently of hydrogen loading. Finally, to track gas-phase loading of hydrogen in thin metal films, we designed a quartz microbalance that measures



Fig. 4 | **Pulsed plasma apparatus. a**, Schematic of the experiment. **b**, Photograph of the electrodes during the experiment. The conditions claimed to be necessary for fusion are provided by generating a pulsed

plasma of deuterium ions between the stainless steel cage and a palladium wire target in a vacuum chamber filled with deuterium gas⁶⁸.

Box1 Low-energy fusion

Fusion reactions at high energies can be accurately modelled as collisions between bare ions that repel each other via electrostatic interactions. At lower energies, where the ion energies become comparable to the screening energies, the reduction in the height of the Coulomb barrier due to the screening of the electric fields of the ions by electrons becomes important^{26,35,81}. Applying screening corrections increases the cross-section (σ_f) and the rate of lower-energy fusion.

An incomplete, but illustrative, model that describes the probability of a fusion reaction as a function of particle energy (E) and screening energy (U_e) can be expressed as^{35,36}:

$$\sigma_{\rm f}(E, U_{\rm e}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{E(E+U_{\rm e})}} e^{-\sqrt{E_{\rm g}/(E+U_{\rm e})}} S(E)$$

The exponential term containing the Gamow energy, $E_{\rm g}$, accounts for the probability of tunnelling through the Coulomb barrier ($E_{\rm g} = 2m_{\rm f}c^2(\pi\alpha Z_1Z_2)^2$, where $m_{\rm r}$ is the reduced mass of particles 1 and 2, c is the speed of light, α the fine-structure constant, and Z_1 and Z_2 the respective atomic numbers of the two particles)³⁰. The astrophysical S-factor, S(E), describes the probability of a fusion event in the absence of coulombic repulsion. The screening energy, $U_{\rm e}$, parametrizes the influence of electronic screening on the collision. Importantly, increasing this screening energy enhances the probability of a fusion reaction at lower energies (Fig. 5).

Screening energies for light-ion fusion reactions are^{35,36} in the range of tens to hundreds of electronvolts. They are therefore negligible at high energies, but become increasingly relevant below 10 keV in the centre-of-mass frame (Fig. 5). Reports that screening can enhance ion penetration through the Coulomb barrier by many orders of magnitude make screening highly relevant to our pursuit of fusion at low energies^{25,26,33,35,82-84}. The observation that muons, which are similar to electrons but 207 times more massive, enable hydrogen nuclei to approach each other more closely than they otherwise would reinforces the notion that screening may catalyse low-energy nuclear reactions⁸⁵. The largest known screening energies for hydrogen fusion have been reported in highly hydrided metals, where high densities of electrons and hydrogen nuclei are present^{32,35,68,84}. Methods that modulate the local electromagnetic environment (for example, surface plasmon excitations) may present opportunities to further amplify screening effects in condensed matter systems.

Screening is important in stellar fusion, where the relevant collisions occur at energies in the 1–2 keV range^{30,86}. Fusion rates in stellar environments can be strongly enhanced by electronic screening^{26,83}, as well as nuclear excited-state resonances^{30,35,87}. Experimental campaigns to study nuclear reactions in the energy range of stars (that is, <2 keV in the centre-of-mass frame) have recently become possible with high-temperature plasmas at large laser facilities⁷⁰. More widely available techniques use cold gas or solid targets bombarded by ion beams^{30,33,69}. The higher densities of solid targets make them more representative of stellar plasmas⁸⁸, but solid targets present two key challenges: the targets undergo structural modification during the experiment, and the hydride density in the target is difficult to control and define⁶⁸. These challenges are remarkably similar to those we encountered studying highly hydrided palladium, and we believe that the techniques we developed may also help these investigations.

mass increase as hydrogen ions are absorbed⁵². For accurate measurements of hydrogen loading with this technique, additional factors such as stress-induced film curvature during gas absorption must be included⁵².

Our experience affirms that the materials science aspects of deuterated metals merit further study, as concluded in the 2004 US Department of Energy review³⁷. If loading metals with exceptionally high concentrations of hydrogen is indeed a necessary precursor for cold fusion, then more work is required to produce stable samples of PdH_x where $x \ge 0.875$ to comprehensively evaluate these claims. We also remain intrigued by what properties could arise from PdH_x samples where $x \ge 1$.

Calorimetry under extreme conditions

Since the early 1990s, researchers in Italy and elsewhere have reported that compositions of certain metallic powders produce excess heat when heated under hydrogen $gas^{40,61}$. To assess these claims, all of the ways energy can enter, leave, or be stored in an experiment operating at high temperature and high pressure have to be accounted for. Learning how to perform calorimetry under extreme conditions became another priority for our programme.

We prototyped several calorimeter designs to enable these studies. This experience acquainted us with many modes of apparatus failure, some quite subtle, induced by the high-temperature, high-pressure environments and the harsh metal/alkali/hydrogen samples required for these experiments (Fig. 3)^{62,63}. We settled on a calorimeter capable of operating up to 1,200 °C and 33 atm with less than 2% measurement uncertainty⁶³. Our design objective was to be able to resolve 10% excess heat to a high degree of confidence. Stated more precisely, we aspired to measure a coefficient of performance (COP, defined as the ratio of energy going out of and into the calorimeter) > 1.09 with 0.98 statistical power, which required replicating each experiment in four identical calorimeters, in accordance with analysis-of-variance principles. (Here, 0.98 statistical power indicates a 98% probability of correctly identifying an effect if there is one.)

Over the course of 16 months, we evaluated contemporary claims of more than 10% excess heat production involving samples of nickel powder and lithium aluminium hydride (LiAlH₄). We tested the independent variables of temperature, pressure, sample composition, particle size, surface treatment, and others. To verify the stability of our calorimeters, control experiments were conducted before and after each sample run. We also developed a system identification framework⁶⁴ to facilitate modelling the time-dependent heat flows and energy storage processes particular to each calorimetry experiment. However, none of the 420 samples we evaluated provided evidence of excess heat; the COPs measured in our experiments were consistently unity (± 0.0825 at 3σ ; P. A. Schauer et al., manuscript in preparation). We concede that we might not have tested all of the experimental conditions required to initiate excess heat as claimed, and so we have made our calorimeter design and analytical tools publicly available for those seeking to evaluate this parameter space further⁶³⁻⁶⁵.

Our studies confirm that conducting calorimetry under extreme conditions is challenging, but not intractable. While we have detected no convincing evidence of excess heat so far, our experience with a number of calorimetry systems gives us confidence that we will know it if we see it.

Low-energy nuclear reactions

Thomas Claytor and colleagues at Los Alamos National Laboratory (New Mexico, USA) reported the production of tritium in lowenergy benchtop experiments in the mid-1990s⁴¹. They used a pulsed plasma discharge in a deuterium gas environment to drive deuterons into a palladium cathode. Evaluating this claim requires measuring fusion by-products (for example, neutrons, protons, tritons, ³He, ⁴He, or γ -rays) as a function of energy in a challenging regime. We were keen to incorporate nuclear diagnostics into our programme because nuclear signatures, which integrate over the duration of the experiment



Fig. 5 | Motivation to explore nuclear fusion at lower energies. Data points show astrophysical S-factor for the D-D fusion reaction (lefthand vertical axis, red) as a function of centre-of-mass energy (E_{CM}) ; the vertical error bars incorporate both statistical and estimated systematic uncertainties due to initial fuel fractions and measured temperatures. The thick red line shows the best fit extracted from the ENDF/B-VII.1 data library⁸⁰. The fusion cross-section (σ_f), and thus the probability of a fusion event, is proportional to the S-factor. The thick blue line shows the ratio of the calculated fusion cross-section for 0.4 keV screening potential (U_e) versus a bare nucleus (right-hand vertical axis, blue) as a function of E_{CM} , with the shaded blue region illustrating the increase in probability of an enhanced fusion rate⁶⁷. Theoretical predictions suggest that cross-section enhancement due to electronic screening is substantial in the shaded grey region below 2 keV in the centre-of-mass frame, where literature data are sparse and contain large error bars; the dotted red line is the linear extrapolation of the best fit. Figure adapted from ref. ⁷⁰ with permission from Springer.

and provide insight into reaction mechanisms, provide a useful complement to thermal analysis. The 2004 US Department of Energy review also recommended the study of nuclear particles in cold fusion experiments³⁷.

We developed an apparatus to bombard palladium targets with pulsed plasmas of deuterium ions that is capable of producing more flux than the ion beams more commonly used for nuclear astrophysical studies of fusion reactions at low energies^{25,30,32,33,35,66,67} (Fig. 4). Our initial experiments⁶⁸ consisted of a palladium wire (cathode) surrounded by a stainless steel cage (anode) housed in a vacuum chamber containing deuterium gas (D₂) at about 1 torr. Pulses of electricity (20- μ s pulse width, 50-Hz repetition rate, 1-A peak ion current) ionized the D₂ and drove D⁺ ions into the palladium wire. External ³He-based proportional counters and organic scintillators coupled to photomultiplier tubes were used to detect neutrons; an internal silicon diode was used to detect protons.

Early results from these ongoing studies have confirmed that we can produce and detect neutrons from D–D fusion at discharge voltages corresponding to 1.2-keV ion energies in the centre-of-mass frame. The dose rate of deuterium ions in these experiments (1 A cm^{-2}) is much higher than in ion-beam experiments $(0.01-0.1 \text{ A cm}^{-2})^{32,35,66,69}$. Ex situ measurements of the palladium wire targets after prolonged irradiation (hours to weeks, with total fluences of about $10^{21} \text{ D}^+ \text{ cm}^{-2}$) using scintillation counters have provided no evidence so far of enhanced tritium production⁶⁸.

Studying fusion physics at low reaction energies is challenging because the rates of fusion drop exponentially with energy, and quickly approach unmeasurably low levels (Box 1). Accordingly, experimental data for light-ion fusion reactions have large error bars at low energies, and data below 2 keV in the centre-of-mass frame are sparse⁷⁰ (Fig. 5).

The next phase of our plasma discharge experiments will build on our experience of creating and characterizing highly hydrided metal targets. Targets with better controlled materials properties open the possibility of conducting better defined ion-beam^{30,33,67} and plasma experiments at colder temperatures. We are enthused by the possibility of obtaining reaction cross-section and *S*-factor (Box 1) data in the grey shaded region of Fig. 5 that could advance the frontier of low-energy fusion physics^{30,33,66,69–71}.

Call to action

Fusion stands out as a mechanism with enormous potential to affect how we generate energy. This opportunity has already mobilized a 25 billion dollar international investment to construct ITER^{72,73}. Simultaneous research into alternative forms of fusion, including cold fusion, might present solutions that require shorter timelines or less extensive infrastructure.

A reasonable criticism of our effort may be 'Why pursue cold fusion when it has not been proven to exist?' One response is that evaluating cold fusion led our programme to study materials and phenomena that we otherwise might not have considered. We set out looking for cold fusion, and instead benefited contemporary research topics in unexpected ways^{52,53,57,58,62–64,68,74–76}.

A more direct response to this question, and the underlying motivation of our effort, is that our society is in urgent need of a clean energy breakthrough⁷⁷. Finding breakthroughs requires risk taking, and we contend that revisiting cold fusion is a risk worth taking.

We hope our journey will inspire others to produce and contribute data in this intriguing parameter space. This is not an all-or-nothing endeavour. Even if we do not find a transformative energy source, this exploration of matter far from equilibrium is likely to have a substantial impact on future energy technologies^{78,79}. It is our perspective that the search for a reference experiment for cold fusion remains a worthy pursuit because the quest to understand and control unusual states of matter is both interesting and important^{78,79}.

Received: 25 May 2018; Accepted: 11 March 2019; Published online 27 May 2019.

- Fleischmann, M. & Pons, S. Electrochemically induced nuclear fusion of deuterium. J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem. 261, 301–308 (1989). Article that introduced the possibility of room-temperature fusion during electrolysis with a palladium cathode in a LiOD/D₂O electrolyte solution, publicly revealed at a press conference at the University of Utah on 23 March 1989.
- Cookson, C. Scientists claim nuclear fusion produced in test tube. *Financial Times* 1 (23 March 1989).
- Bishop, J. Breakthrough in fusion may be announced. Wall Street J. B1 (23 March 1989).
- Browne, M. W. Fusion in a jar: chemists' claim ignites an uproar. New York Times 1 (28 March 1989).
- Miskelly, G. M. et al. Analysis of the published calorimetric evidence for electrochemical fusion of deuterium in palladium. *Science* 246, 793–796 (1989).
- Albagli, D. et al. Measurement and analysis of neutron and gamma-ray emission rates, other fusion products, and power in electrochemical cells having Pd cathodes. *J. Fusion Energy* 9, 133–148 (1990).
- Williams, D. E. et al. Upper bounds on 'cold fusion' in electrolytic cells. Nature 342, 375–384 (1989).
- Maddox, J. Farewell (not fond) to cold fusion. *Nature* 344, 365–366 (1990).
- USDOE Office of Energy Research, Energy Research Advisory Board. Cold Fusion Research. Report DOE/S-0073 https://doi.org/10.2172/5144772 (USDOE, 1989).
- 10. Mullins, J. Cold fusion back from the dead. *IEEE Spectrum* **41**, 22–23 (2004).
- Ritter, S. K. Cold fusion died 25 years ago, but the research lives on. *Chem. Eng.* News 94, 34–39 (2016).
- Krivit, S. B. & Marwan, J. A new look at low-energy nuclear reaction research. J. Environ. Monit. 11, 1731–1746 (2009).
- Sanderson, K. Cold fusion is back at the American Chemical Society. Nature https://doi.org/10.1038/news070326-12 (2007).
- Goodstein, D. Whatever happened to cold fusion? Account. Res. 8, 59–75 (2000).
- Krivit, S. B. in *Low-Energy Nuclear Reactions Sourcebook* Vol. 998 (eds Marwan, J. & Krivit, S. B.) 3–16 (American Chemical Society, 2008).
- Brumfiel, G. US review rekindles cold fusion debate. Nature https://www.nature. com/news/2004/041129/full/news041129-11.html (2004).

- 17. Mohtadi, R. & Orimo, S.-I. The renaissance of hydrides as energy materials. Nat. Rev. Mater. 2, 16091 (2016).
- 18. Montoya, J. H. et al. Materials for solar fuels and chemicals. Nat. Mater. 16, 70-81 (2016).
- 19 Seh, Z. W. et al. Combining theory and experiment in electrocatalysis: insights into materials design. Science 355, eaad4998 (2017).
- 20 Stamenkovic, V. R., Strmcnik, D., Lopes, P. P. & Markovic, N. M. Energy and fuels from electrochemical interfaces. Nat. Mater. 16, 57-69 (2016).
- 21 Teschner, D. et al. The roles of subsurface carbon and hydrogen in palladiumcatalyzed alkyne hydrogenation. Sc*ience* **320**, 86–89 (2008)
- 22. Liu, N., Tang, M. L., Hentschel, M., Giessen, H. & Alivisatos, A. P. Nanoantennaenhanced gas sensing in a single tailored nanofocus. Nat. Mater. 10, 631-636 (2011).
- 23. Li, G. et al. Hydrogen storage in Pd nanocrystals covered with a metal-organic framework. Nat. Mater. 13, 802-806 (2014).
- Li, G. et al. Shape-dependent hydrogen-storage properties in Pd nanocrystals: 24 which does hydrogen prefer, octahedron (111) or cube (100)? J. Am. Chem. Soc. 136, 10222-10225 (2014).
- 25. Huke, A. et al. Enhancement of deuteron-fusion reactions in metals and experimental implications. Phys. Rev. C 78, 015803 (2008).
- 26. Assenbaum, H. J., Langanke, K. & Rolfs, C. Effects of electron screening on low-energy fusion cross sections. Z. Phys. A 327, 461–468 (1987)
- Laurence, W. L. Cold fusion of hydrogen atoms; a fourth method pulling 27 together. New York Times E7 (30 December 1956).
- Rafelski, J. & Jones, S. E. Cold nuclear fusion. Sci. Am. 257, 84-89 (1987). 28
- Jones, S. E. et al. Observation of cold nuclear fusion in condensed matter. 29 Nature 338, 737–740 (1989).
- 30. Rolfs, C. E. & Rodney, W. S. Cauldrons in the Cosmos: Nuclear Astrophysics (Univ. Chicago Press, 1988).
- Miley, G. H., Towner, H. & Ivich, N. Fusion Cross Sections and Reactivities (Illinois 31. Univ., 1974).
- 32. Raiola, F. et al. Enhanced electron screening in d(d,p)t for deuterated metals. Eur. Phys. J. A 19, 283-287 (2004).
- 33 Bertulani, C. A. & Kajino, T. Frontiers in nuclear astrophysics. Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 89, 56-100 (2016).
- 34. Spitaleri, C., Bertulani, C. A., Fortunato, L. & Vitturi, A. The electron screening puzzle and nuclear clustering, *Phys. Lett. B* **755**, 275–278 (2016). Czerski, K. et al. Screening and resonance enhancements of the ²H(d, p)³H
- 35 reaction yield in metallic environments. Europhys. Lett. 113, 22001 (2016).
- 36. Coraddu, M., Lissia, M. & Quarati, P. Anomalous enhancements of low-energy fusion rates in plasmas: the role of ion momentum distributions and inhomogeneous screening. Cent. Eur. J. Phys. 7, 527-533 (2009).
- US Department of Energy. Report of the Review of Low Energy Nuclear Reactions 37. (USDOE, 2004).

Report from a review conducted in 2004 by the US Department of Energy to revisit the question of scientific evidence for low-energy nuclear reactions.

- Packham, N. J. C., Wolf, K. L., Wass, J. C., Kainthla, R. C. & Bockris, J. O. Production of tritium from D₂O electrolysis at a palladium cathode. J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem. 270, 451–458 (1989).
- 39. McKubre, M. C. H. Cold fusion: comments on the state of scientific proof. Curr. Sci. 108. 495-498 (2015).
- Discussion of early experiments performed to assess the Fleischmann-Pons claim.
- Focardi, S., Habel, R. & Piantelli, F. Anomalous heat production in Ni-H systems. 40 Nuovo Cim. A 107, 163–167 (1994).
- Claytor, T. N., Jackson, D. D. & Tuggle, D. G. Tritium Production from a Low Voltage 41. Deuterium Discharge on Palladium and Other Metals. https://doi. org/10.2172/102234 (LANL, 1995).

Plasma loading method claimed to produce an unexpected amount of tritium from palladium wires.

- 42. Wicke, E., Brodowsky, H. & Züchner, H. in Hydrogen in Metals II (eds Alefield, G. & Völki, J.) 73–155 (Springer, 1978).
- Schirber, J. E. & Morosin, B. Lattice constants of β PdH_x and β PdD_x with x 43. near 1.0. Phys. Rev. B 12, 117–118 (1975).
- 44. Fukai, Y. & Ökuma, N. Evidence of copious vacancy formation in Ni and Pd under a high hydrogen pressure. Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. **32**, L1256–L1259 (1993)
- 45. Fukai, Y. & Okuma, N. Formation of superabundant vacancies in Pd hydride under high hydrogen pressures. Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 1640-1643 (1994).
- Skoskiewicz, T. Superconductivity in the palladium-hydrogen and palladiumnickel-hydrogen systems. Phys. Status Solidi A 11, K123-K126 (1972).
- Miller, R. J. & Satterthwaite, C. B. Electronic model for the reverse isotope effect in superconducting Pd-H(D). Phys. Rev. Lett. 34, 144-148 (1975)
- 48. Harper, J. M. E. Effect of hydrogen concentration on superconductivity and clustering in palladium hydride. Phys. Lett. A 47, 69-70 (1974).
- Pröbst, F., Wagner, F. E. & Karger, M. Magnetic properties of solute ⁵⁷Fe in β 49 phase palladium hydride. J. Less Common Met. 88, 201–209 (1982).
- Möller, W., Besenbacher, F. & Bottiger, J. Saturation and isotope mixing during 50. low-temperature implantations of hydrogen into metals. Appl. Phys. A 27, 19-29 (1982).
- Fukai, Y. et al. Superabundant vacancy–hydrogen clusters in electrodeposited Ni and Cu. *J. Alloys Compd.* **356–357**, 270–273 (2003). 51.
- Murray, J. B. et al. Apparatus for combined nanoscale gravimetric, stress, and 52. thermal measurements. Rev. Sci. Instrum. 89, 085106 (2018).

53. Benck, J. D., Jackson, A., Young, D., Rettenwander, D. & Chiang, Y.-M. Producing high concentrations of hydrogen in palladium via electrochemical insertion from aqueous and solid electrolytes. Chem. Mater. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs. chemmater.9b01243 (2019) Electrochemical insertion of hydrogen into palladium electrodes using

aqueous, polymer and ceramic electrolytes at room temperature and ambient pressure to produce PdH_x as high as $x = 0.96 \pm 0.02$.

- 54. Felici, R., Bertalot, L., DeNinno, A., LaBarbera, A. & Violante, V. In situ measurement of the deuterium (hydrogen) charging of a palladium electrode during electrolysis by energy dispersive x-ray diffraction. Rev. Sci. Instrum. 66, 3344-3348 (1995).
- 55. Knies, D. L. et al. In-situ synchrotron energy-dispersive X-ray diffraction study of thin Pd foils with Pd:D and Pd:H concentrations up to 1:1. J. Appl. Phys. 112, 083510 (2012).
- Maoka, T. & Envo, M. Hydrogen absorption by palladium electrode polarized in sulfuric acid solution containing surface active substances-I. The cathodic region. Electrochim. Acta 26, 607-614 (1981).
- Sherbo, R. S. et al. Accurate coulometric quantification of hydrogen absorption 57 in palladium nanoparticles and thin films. Chem. Mater. 30, 3963-3970 (2018).
- 58 Benck, J. D., Rettenwander, D., Jackson, A., Young, D. & Chiang, Y.-M. Apparatus for operando X-ray diffraction of fuel electrodes in high temperature solid oxide electrochemical cells. Rev. Sci. Instrum. 90. 023910 (2019).
- 59. Lawson, D. R. et al. Use of a coulometric assay technique to study the variables affecting deuterium loading levels within palladium electrodes. Electrochim. Acta 36, 1515–1522 (1991).
- Czerwiński, A. C., Kiersztyn, İ., Grdeń, M. & Czapla, J. The study of hydrogen sorption in palladium limited volume electrodes (Pd-LVE) I. Acidic solutions. J. Electroanal. Chem. 471, 190-195 (1999).
- 61. Levi, G. et al. Indication of anomalous heat energy production in a reactor device. Preprint at https://arXiv.org/abs/1305.3913 (2013).
- Young, D. et al. An operando calorimeter for high temperature electrochemical cells. Preprint at http://arXiv.org/abs/1808.02596 (2018). 62.
- 63. MacLeod, B. P. et al. High-temperature high-pressure calorimeter for studying gram-scale heterogeneous chemical reactions. Rev. Sci. Instrum. 88, 084101 (2017).
- 64. MacLeod, B. P., Fork, D. K., Lam, B. & Berlinguette, C. P. Calorimetry under non-ideal conditions using system identification. J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10973-019-08271-z (2019)
- Fork, D. K., MacLeod, B. P. & Parlane, F. G. L. Calorimetry System Identification (CSID). https://github.com/calorimetry/CSID (2017).
- Broggini, C., Bemmerer, D., Caciolli, A. & Trezzi, D. LUNA: status and prospects. 66. Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 98, 55-84 (2018).
- Kaczmarski, M. et al. New accelerator facility for measurements of nuclear 67. reactions at energies below 1 keV. Acta Phys. Pol. B 45, 509-518 (2014).
- 68. Schenkel, T. et al. Investigation of light ion fusion reactions with plasma discharges. Preprint at http://arXiv.org/abs/1905.03400 (2019). Observation of D-D reaction yields are about 100-fold higher than expected for bare nuclei in a plasma discharge experiment designed to demonstrate screening effects in light-ion fusion.
- 69. Best, A. et al. Underground nuclear astrophysics: why and how. Eur. Phys. J. A **52**, 72 (2016).
- Casey, D. T. et al. Thermonuclear reactions probed at stellar-core conditions 70 with laser-based inertial-confinement fusion. Nat. Phys. 13, 1227-1231 (2017).
- Arcones, A. et al. White paper on nuclear astrophysics and low energy nuclear physics. Part 1: Nuclear astrophysics. *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **94**, 1–67 (2017). ITER Organization. *ITER Organization 2016 Annual Report.* https://www.iter.org/ 71.
- 72. org/team/odg/comm/AnnualReports (ITER, 2017).
- Fountain, H. A dream of clean energy at a very high price. New York Times D1 73. (27 March 2017)
- Sherbo, R. S., Delima, R. S., Chiykowski, V. A., MacLeod, B. P. & Berlinguette, C. P. 74. Complete electron economy by pairing electrolysis with hydrogenation. Nature Catal. 1, 501-507 (2018).
- 75. Johnson, N. J. J. et al. Facets and vertices regulate hydrogen uptake and release in palladium nanocrystals. Nat. Mater. 18, 434-458 (2019). In situ X-ray diffraction experiments reveal the rate of hydrogen absorption by palladium nanocrystals increases with the number of vertices, and the rate of hydrogen desorption is approximately tenfold faster at (100) facets than at (111) facets.
- Palm, K. J., Murray, J. B., Narayan, T. C. & Munday, J. N. Dynamic optical properties of metal hydrides. ACS Photonics 5, 4677–4686 (2018). 76. Use of a strain-compensated microbalance for the in situ determination of gaseous hydrogen loading for various palladium, magnesium, zirconium, titanium and vanadium hydrides.
- 77. Koningstein, R. & Fork, D. Energy's creative destruction. IEEE Spectrum 51, 30-35 (2014).
- 78 Hemminger, J., Fleming, G. & Ratner, M. Directing Matter and Energy: Five Challenges for Science and the Imagination. https://doi.org/10.2172/935427 (USDOE Basic Energy Sciences Advisory Committee, 2007).
 79. Hemminger, J. C., Sarrao, J., Crabtree, G., Flemming, G. & Ratner, M. Challenges
- at the Frontiers of Matter and Energy: Transformative Opportunities for Discovery Science. https://doi.org/10.2172/1283188 (USDOE Basic Energy Sciences Advisory Committee, 2015).
- Chadwick, M. B. et al. ENDF/B-VII.0: next generation evaluated nuclear data 80. library for nuclear science and technology. Nucl. Data Sheets 107, 2931-3060 (2006)
- Bosch, H. S. & Hale, G. M. Improved formulas for fusion cross-sections and 81. thermal reactivities. Nucl. Fusion 32, 611-631 (1992).

- Caughlan, G. R. & Fowler, W. A. Thermonuclear reaction rates V. Atom. Data Nucl. Data Tables 40, 283–334 (1988).
- Salpeter, E. E. Electron screening and thermonuclear reactions. Aust. J. Phys. 7, 373–388 (1954).
- Kasagi, J. et al. Strongly enhanced DD fusion reaction in metals observed for keV D⁺ bombardment. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn 71, 2881–2885 (2002).
- Breunlich, W. H., Kammel, P., Cohen, J. S. & Leon, M. Muon-catalyzed fusion. Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 39, 311–356 (1989).
- Williams, D. R. Sun fact sheet https://nssdc.gsfc.nasa.gov/planetary/factsheet/ sunfact.html (2018).
- Tumino, A. et al. An increase in the ¹²C + ¹²C fusion rate from resonances at astrophysical energies. *Nature* 557, 687–690 (2018); erratum 561, E3 (2018).
- Ichimaru, S. Nuclear fusion in dense plasmas. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 65, 255–299 (1993).

Acknowledgements Students, postdoctoral researchers and staff responsible for advancing and supporting this project are listed as co-signatories in the Supplementary Information. P.A. Schauer, B. Lam, B.P. MacLeod, F.G.L. Parlane and A.K. Brown are gratefully acknowledged for their substantial contributions to the construction of this manuscript. The research supporting this Perspective was conducted with funding from Google LLC. Some aspects of the materials studies conducted at the University of British Columbia (UBC) were partially supported by the Canadian Natural Science and Engineering Research Council (CRD-502052-16) and made use of resources and facilities provided by the Canadian Foundation for Innovation (229288). A portion of the UBC research was conducted at: the 4D LABS shared facilities supported by the Canada Foundation for Innovation, British Columbia Knowledge Development Fund, Western Economic Diversification Canada, and Simon Fraser University; and the Centre for High-Throughput Phenogenomics at UBC, a facility supported by the Canadian Foundation for Innovation, British Columbia Knowledge Development Fund, and the UBC Faculty of Dentistry. Work at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (Berkeley Lab) was performed under the auspices of the US Department of Energy (DE-ACO2-05CH11231). Work at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) used instrumentation in the Center for Materials Science and Engineering, a MRSEC Shared Experimental Facility supported by the National Science Foundation under award number DMR-14-19807. Some of the work at the University of Maryland (UMD) employed the shared facilities of the Maryland NanoCenter and its FabLab. The views and conclusions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States or Canadian Governments, or any agencies thereof.

Author contributions All authors outlined the manuscript. C.P.B. and M.D.T. wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed and edited the entire manuscript.

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41586-019-1256-6.

Reprints and permissions information is available at http://www.nature.com/ reprints.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to C.P.B. or M.D.T.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

© Springer Nature Limited 2019